Reply to the Advisory Action of December 12, 2008

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 14-27 are active in the case. Claims 31-37 stand withdrawn from

consideration. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

The present invention relates to a process for the emulsion polymerization of olefins.

Claim Rejection, 35 USC 112

The rejection of the claims because of the amendment of diphosphine compound

b-2) in the previous Office Action is obviated by the cancellation of the diphosphine

component from Claim 14. Claim 14 is thereby limited to component (b-1). Claims 23 and 24

have been appropriately amended by deletion of the term diphosphine. None of the

amendments introduce new matter into the case. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully

requested since the issue raised by the Examiner is obviated.

It is believed that the application is in proper condition for allowance. Early notice to

this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 06/04)

Frederick D. Vastine, Ph.D.

Registration No. 27,013

NFO:FDV

8